UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DEMARK DIXON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE,

Defendant.

1:24-CV-1519 (LTS)

ORDER DIRECTING PAYMENT OF FEES OR IFP APPLICATION

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff brings this action *pro se*. To proceed with a civil action in this court, a plaintiff must either pay \$405.00 in fees – a \$350.00 filing fee plus a \$55.00 administrative fee – or, to request authorization to proceed without prepayment of fees, submit a completed and signed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") application. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915.

Plaintiff submitted the complaint without the fees to bring this action or an IFP application. Within 30 days of the date of this order, Plaintiff must either pay the \$405.00 in fees or complete, sign, and submit the attached IFP application. If Plaintiff submits the IFP application, it should be labeled with docket number 1:24-CV-1519 (LTS). If the Court grants the IFP application, Plaintiff will be permitted to proceed without prepayment of fees. *See* § 1915(a)(1).

No summons shall issue at this time. If Plaintiff complies with this order, this action will be processed in accordance with the procedures of the Clerk's Office. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order within the time allowed, this action will be dismissed.

To the extent that Plaintiff brings this civil action seeking error *coram nobis* relief challenging his criminal conviction in *United States v. Dixon*, 7:97-CR-0498-08 (S.D.N.Y.), he may file a written request to withdraw this civil action, and file a motion for error *coram nobis*

relief in the criminal action without paying fees or submitting an IFP application. See Doe v.

United States, 915 F.3d 905, 909 (2d Cir. 2019) ("A writ of error coram nobis is an extraordinary

remedy typically granted only when a prisoner is out of custody and so cannot pursue habeas

relief.") (internal quotation marks and citation omitted); see also United States v. Denedo, 556

U.S. 904, 912-13 (2009) ("Because coram nobis is but an extraordinary tool to correct a legal or

factual error, an application for the writ is properly viewed as a belated extension of the original

proceeding during which the error allegedly transpired."); United States v. Morgan, 346 U.S.

502, 505 n.4 (1954) (An error coram nobis "motion is a step in the criminal case and not, like

habeas corpus where relief is sought in a separate case and record, the beginning of a separate

civil Proceeding."); cf. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(e) (writ of error coram nobis abolished as to federal

civil actions); Morgan, 346 U.S. at 505 n.4 (noting the same).

The Court certifies, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that appellant demonstrates

good faith when seeking review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

March 4, 2024

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

2